COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

JOSEPH R. SLIGHTS III VICE CHANCELLOR 417 S. State Street Dover, Delaware 19901 Telephone: (302) 739-4397 Facsimile: (302) 739-6179

Date Submitted: December 2, 2020 Date Decided: December 29, 2020

John M. Seaman, Esquire Michael A. Barlow, Esquire Abrams & Bayliss LLP 20 Montchanin Road, Suite 200 Wilmington, DE 19807

Raymond J. DiCamillo, Esquire Daniel Kaprow, Esquire Megan E. O'Connor, Esquire Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A. 920 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 David J. Margules, Esquire Elizabeth A. Sloan, Esquire Ballard Spahr LLP 919 North Market Street, 11th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Albert H. Manwaring, IV, Esquire Albert J. Carroll, Esquire Morris James LLP 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: Joint Stock Company Commercial Bank PrivatBank v. Kolomoisky, et al. C.A. No. 2019-0377-JRS

Dear Counsel:

I have reviewed Plaintiff's Motion for Reargument (D.I. 169) relating to the Court's decision, dated November 19, 2020, to grant Defendants' Motion to Bifurcate Briefing on Motions to Dismiss and Stay Jurisdictional Discovery (D.I. 164, 167). After carefully reviewing the matter, I remained convinced that the sequencing of briefing the pending motions, and the stay of jurisdictional discovery,

Joint Stock Company Commercial Bank PrivatBank v. Kolomoisky, et al.

C.A. No. 2019-0377-JRS

December 29, 2020

Page 2

as laid out in the Court's November 19th Order, is the most efficient and appropriate

means by which to address the motions, particularly given the likely scope of

jurisdictional discovery implicated by the Plaintiff's proffered theory of personal

jurisdiction.

As I am not satisfied the Court has "overlooked a controlling decision or

principle of law that would have controlling effect, or [] has misapprehended the law

or the facts so that the outcome of the decision would be different," I cannot conclude

that the Motion for Reargument has stated valid bases for relief. Accordingly, the

Motion is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Joseph R. Slights III

_

¹ Those Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v. Nat'l Installment Ins. Servs., 2008 WL 2133417, at *1 (Del. Ch. May 21, 2008) (stating the requisite grounds for granting reargument).